

Letter's claims 'unacceptable', says reader

(Re: Voter felt 'humiliated' after all-candidates meeting, October 8)

Making judgement based on innuendo and perception is not an acceptable process in an attempt to arrive at facts.

Mr. Williamson states, in attacking Mr. Menegakis' participation in a candidate election debate that Mr. Menegakis was only answering questions planted by fellow conservatives.

Where is the evidence of that? Did he get signed statements from those individuals admitting to that? Did the moderator (if there was one) only select individuals to speak who were recognized to be conservatives?

Mr. Williamson experienced a roadblock in getting an answer to a question he raised.

With his stating having a physical impairment that does not allow him to express his questions as clearly as most other individuals, when there was a requirement to have it repeated a number of times, he alleges that Mr. Menegakis was mocking him on account of his difficulty.

That is an unbelievable perception.

I cannot fathom that any person would lower himself to that level and, in this case, thereby also jeopardize his status as a respectable political candidate.

These are unacceptable arguments for Mr. Williamson to have made his assertions.

Alex Vander Veen

Aurora