

Former councillor looks for ?accountability? on Complex

(Re: Costs set to rise as Leisure Complex faces setback. January 22, 2015)

I re-read the story for signs of accountability.

Three months in office and elected a month-and-a-half earlier is as long as the project is late.

A media event before the election halted work for a day or more, no doubt intended to distract from what was not accomplished.

What gives? What has the Mayor and Council been doing? They are the responsible party. They are in charge.

Publicly floundering about in frustration doesn't cut it.

The predicament is of their doing, or undoing as the case may be. Lack of attention to a problem is no less culpable.

Five months late and three quarters of a million dollars to be ponied up, a scarcely veiled threat of litigation against the town in a public meeting by a Director. How is that acceptable? What of the flurry of other lame excuses?

Full prior investigations of the site weren't done because of time constraints; flawed design work as a result. Staff did their best with limited authority. The extra can be paid from Development Charges; it's not like real money. If proper investigation had been done at first, it would have cost money, so paying then or paying now, it really doesn't make no never mind.

Mould was found in air ducts.

Mould grows in moist, dank, dark places without benefit of air movement. Ducts are for the purpose of moving air. Mould growing in a duct is as likely as taking salt from a solution.

Reading The Auroran story,

I searched in vain for comment from our fearless leader.

His aversion to micromanagement is known, but accountability is surely a reasonable expectation.

We voted for it. We certainly pay for it.

Escalation is already established in the \$26 million Joint Facility Project. Traffic problems are anticipated from the disastrous choice of location.

Is anyone out there?

Evelyn Buck
Aurora